IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition no. 153 of 2003 (M/B)

Krishna Kumar Singh
S/o Late Sri Balwant Singh,
R/o Rang Mahal, Qila,
Landaura,
District Haridwar ..... Petitioner

Versus

1. Union of India through Chief Secretary, New Delhi.
2. Ministry of Forest and Environment, Government of India, New Delhi through Secretary, Environment and Forest.
3. Central Pollution Board, Pariavaran Bhawan, East Arjun Nagar, Delhi through its Chairman.
4. District Magistrate, Haridwar.
5. Ministry of Forest and Rural Development, Government of Uttaranchal, Secretariat, Subhash Road, Dehradun through its Commissioner/Secretary.
6. Uttaranchal Pollution Control Board, Government of Uttaranchal, Secretariat, Subhash Road, Dehradun.
7. Eat Nirmata Kalyan Samiti, Landhara, Distt. Haridwar, a society registered under the Societies Registration Act through its president Rajendra Singh S/o Late Sri Dal Sing R/o Village Landhara, Distt. Haridwar. ..... Respondents

Sri Ramji Srivastava, Advocate for the petitioner.
None for respondents 1, 3, 5 and 6.
Sri J.P.Joshi, Addl. C.S.C. for respondent no. 4.
Sri Davesh Bishnoi, Advocate for respondent no. 7.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C. J. (Oral)

Sri Ramji Srivastava, the learned counsel for the petitioner.

None for respondents 1, 3, 5 and 6.

Sri J. P. Joshi, the learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel for respondent no. 4.

Sri Davesh Bishnoi, the learned counsel for respondent no. 7.

2. Sri Srivastava, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the petition filed as Public Interest Litigation with the liberty of making a representation/complaint to the sixth respondent – Uttaranchal Pollution Control Board for the redressal of his grievances projected in the petition with necessary details and supporting material.

3. It goes without saying that if such a representation/complaint is made by the petitioner to Uttaranchal Pollution Control Board, the same shall be considered in accordance with law.

4. Permission granted.

5. With the above liberty, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) 08.06.2006

(Rajeev Gupta, C. J.) 08.06.2006

A